

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/716,792	11/18/2003	Yulun Wang	157438-0015	6134	
1622	7590 04/22/2005	EXAMINER			
IRELL & MANELLA LLP 840 NEWPORT CENTER DRIVE SUITE 400			SAN MARTIN, EDGARDO		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEWPORT B	EACH, CA 92660		2837		
			DATE MAILED: 04/22/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					- 1		
	-	Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/716,79	92	WANG ET AL.			
		Examiner	,	Art Unit			
		Edgardo S	San Martin	2837			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply	tion appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence addr	ess		
THE - Extended - If th - If No - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical eperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) do period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. TOFR 1.136(a). In no every cation. ays, a reply within the state ory period will apply and wi , by statute, cause the apply	ent, however, may a reply be utory minimum of thirty (30) d Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro ication to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this commuED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.		
Status							
1)[\implies]	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 18 November 2	003.				
·	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	tion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-40 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from co					
Applicat	tion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by) accepted or b) on to the drawing(s) be e correction is require	e held in abeyance. S ed if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR	` '		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) [] a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for the attached detailed Office action f	cuments have bee cuments have bee the priority docume I Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applica ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	ition No ved in this National St	age		
Attachmer	nt(s)		•				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summai				
3) 🛭 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO- er No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/17/03</u> .		Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date Patent Application (PTO-1	52)		

Application/Control Number: 10/716,792 Page 2

Art Unit: 2837

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. Claims 9 – 16 and 29 – 36 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claims 1 – 8 and 21 – 28, respectively. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paulos et al. (NPL Document titled Designing Personal Tele-embodiment) in view of Pin et al. (US 5,374,879).

With respect to claims 1, 9, 17, 21, 29 and 37, Paulos et al. teach a robot, comprising a mobile platform; a camera coupled to the mobile platform, an arm coupled to the mobile platform; and a first effector coupled to the arm, but fail to disclose wherein the platform is holonomic and wherein the effector is a grasper (Figs.1 and 2; Sections 1-4).

Art Unit: 2837

Nevertheless, Pin et al. teach a holonomic platform used with a robot (Fig.3; Col.1, Lines 14 – 22 and Col.2, Lines 9 – 34).

On the other hand, the Examiner takes Official Notice that it is well known in the art of robotics to employ graspers as effector depending upon the application and the desired function of the robot.

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to employ the Pin et al. holonomic platform with the Paulos design because the holonomic platform would provide an omni-directional platform having decoupled rotational and translational degrees of freedom, improving the mobility and performance of the robot.

With respect to claims 2 - 8, 10 - 16, 19 and 20, the Examiner considers that Paulos et al. teach the limitations described in the claims (Figs.1 and 2; Section 4).

With respect to claim 18, it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex Parte Masham, 2 USPQ F.2d 1647 (1987).

With respect to claims 21, 29 and 37, Paulos et al. teach a robot system comprising a broadband network; a remote station coupled to the broadband network, the remote station having a handle that can be manipulated to generate movement signals that are transmitted through the broadband network; a robot that is coupled to the broadband network and receives the movement signals from the handle of the remote station (Sections 1-3).

Art Unit: 2837

With respect to claims 22 - 28, 30 - 36, 39 and 40, the Examiner considers that Paulos et al. teach the limitations described in the claims (Figs.1 and 2; Section 4).

With respect to claim 38, it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex Parte Masham, 2 USPQ F.2d 1647 (1987).

Conclusion

3. The attached hereto PTO Form 892 lists prior art made of record that the Examiner considered it pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Contact Information

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edgardo San Martin whose telephone number is (571) 272-2074. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Martin can be reached on (571) 272-2107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/716,792

Art Unit: 2837

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Edgardo San Martín Primary Examiner

Page 5

Art Unit 2837 Class 318

April 19, 2005